arXiv:1109.1773v1 [math.FA] 8 Sep 2011

CHARACTERIZATION OF A GENERALIZED TRIANGLE INEQUALITY IN NORMED SPACES

Farzad Dadipour
Department of Pure Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran
dadipoor@yahoo.com

Mohammad Sal Moslehian
Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAAS)
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, Iran
moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

John M. Rassias
Pedagogical Department, National and Capodistrian University of Athens, Section of Mathematics and Informatics, 4, Agamemnonos str., Aghia Paraskevi, Attikis 15342, Athens, Greece
jrassias@primedu.uoa.gr

Sin-Ei Takahasi
Yamagata University (Professor Emeritus), Natsumidai 3-8-16-502,Funabashi, Chiba, 273-0866, Japan
sin_ei1@yahoo.co.jp

Abstract. For a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $p > 0$ we characterize all n-tuples $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the generalized triangle inequality of the second type

$$\|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|^p \leq \frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n}$$

holds for any $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$. We also characterize $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the reverse of the inequality above holds.

1. Introduction

The triangle inequality is one of the most significant inequalities in mathematics. It has many interesting generalizations, refinements and reverses, which have been obtained over the years, see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and references therein. The generalized triangle inequalities

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 46C15; Secondary: 46B20, 46C05.

Key words and phrases. generalized triangle inequality; norm inequality; triangle inequality of the second type; normed space.

are useful to study the geometrical structure of normed spaces, see e.g. [7, 8, 9]. In this direction some results have been based on the triangle inequality of the second type

$$\|x+y\|^2 \leq 2(\|x\|^2+\|y\|^2)$$

in a normed linear space, see [10, 11, 12] for more information about this inequality. In framework of Hilbert spaces the Euler-Lagrange type identity (see [13])

$$\frac{\|x\|^2}{\mu}+\frac{\|y\|^2}{\nu}-\frac{\|ax+by\|^2}{\lambda}=\frac{\|\nu bx-\mu ay\|^2}{\lambda\mu\nu} \quad (\lambda=\mu a^2+\nu b^2)$$

follows the more general triangle inequality of the second type (see [12])

$$\frac{\|ax+by\|^2}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\|x\|^2}{\mu}+\frac{\|y\|^2}{\nu},$$

where $\lambda=\mu a^2+\nu b^2$ and $\lambda\mu\nu>0$. Also Takahasi et al. [12] investigate the inequality

$$\frac{\|ax+by\|^p}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\|x\|^p}{\mu}+\frac{\|y\|^p}{\nu} \tag{1.1}$$

for $p \geq 1$. We should notice that one can assume that $\lambda = \pm 1$ by dividing the both sides of (1.1) by $|\lambda|$ as well as $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ since if, e.g. $a=0$, then μ can be suitably chosen arbitrary. By replacing x and y by $\frac{x}{a}$ and $\frac{y}{b}$ and changing μ and ν accordingly, inequality (1.1) turns into $\frac{\|x+y\|^p}{\pm 1} \leq \frac{\|x\|^p}{\mu}+\frac{\|y\|^p}{\nu}$.

In this paper, for a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and $p>0$ we characterize all n-tuples $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the generalized triangle inequality of the second type

$$\|x_1+\cdots+x_n\|^p \leq \frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1}+\cdots+\frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n}$$

holds for any $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$. We also characterize $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the reverse of the inequality above holds.

2. Main results

We need the following three lemmas which generalize some results due to Takagi et al. [14, Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3]. Let us first recall the concept of an envelope.

An envelope of a family of surfaces is a surface that is tangent to each member of the family at some point. Let $\mathscr{L}$ be an $(n-1)$-parameters family of surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by $F(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1})=0$ depending on real parameters $s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}$ and variables $a_1, \cdots, a_n$. The envelope of $\mathscr{L}$ is the set of points $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &F(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = 0\,, \\ &\tfrac{\partial F}{\partial s_i}(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = 0 \qquad (1 \le i \le n-1)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $p > 1$, $S = \{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \colon s_1, \cdots, s_n \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = 1\}$ *and* $h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1}) = \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\right)^{1-p}$ *for* $a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} > 0$ *with* $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{\frac{1}{1-p}} < 1$. *For each* $(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S$, *let*

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n) &:= \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_n s_n^p = 1\}\ , \\ \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n) &:= \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_n s_n^p \ge 1\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) *If* $\mathscr{L} = \{L_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \colon (s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S\}$, *then the envelope of* $\mathscr{L}$ *is given by* $a_n = h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})$;

(ii) $\displaystyle\bigcap_{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S} \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n) = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon a_n \ge h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})\}\,.$

Proof. (i) Putting

$$F(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_{n-1} s_{n-1}^p + a_n(1 - (s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}))^p - 1,$$

we can consider $\mathscr{L}$ as a family of $(n-1)$-parameters of surfaces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L} &= \{L_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \colon (s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S\} \\ &= \left\{\{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_n s_n^p = 1\} \colon s_1, \cdots, s_n \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = 1\right\} \\ &= \Big\{\{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_{n-1} s_{n-1}^p + a_n(1 - (s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}))^p = 1\} \colon \\ &\qquad s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1} \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i \le 1\Big\} \\ &= \left\{\{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon F(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = 0\} \colon s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1} \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i \le 1\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The envelope of $\mathscr{L}$ is given by the solutions of the following simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &F(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = 0\,, \\ &\tfrac{\partial F}{\partial s_i}(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = 0 \qquad (1 \le i \le n-1)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Equations (2.1) yield

$$pa_i s_i^{p-1} - pa_n(1-(s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}))^{p-1} = 0 \quad (1 \le i \le n-1) \tag{2.2}$$

It must be $s_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$. Indeed, if $s_j = 0$ for some $1 \le j \le n-1$, then $a_1 s_1 = \cdots = a_{n-1}s_{n-1} = a_n\big(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i\big)^{p-1} = 0$ by (2.2). So that $F(a_1, \cdots, a_n; s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}) = -1$, which contradicts (2.1). Moreover, by the same way, it must be $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i < 1$.

From (2.2) we get

$$a_i = \frac{a_n(1-(s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}))^{p-1}}{s_i^{p-1}} \qquad (1 \le i \le n-1)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.3), equation (2.1) turns into

$$\begin{aligned} a_n s_1(1-(s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}))^{p-1} + \cdots + a_n s_{n-1}(1-(s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}))^{p-1} \\ + a_n(1-(s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}))^p - 1 = 0\,, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently we get

$$a_n = \frac{1}{(1-(s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}))^{p-1}}\,. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$a_i = \frac{1}{s_i^{p-1}} \quad (1 \le i \le n-1)\,. \tag{2.5}$$

We note that $s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1} > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i < 1$ if and only if $a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{\frac{1}{1-p}} < 1$. Now we remove the parameters $s_1, \cdots, s_{n-1}$ in equations (2.4) and (2.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{\left(1-\left(\left(\frac{1}{a_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \cdots + \left(\frac{1}{a_{n-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)\right)^{p-1}} = \left(1-\left(a_1^{\frac{1}{1-p}} + \cdots + a_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\right)\right)^{1-p} \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\right)^{1-p} = h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})\,. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) It is not difficult to check that the function h_p is strictly convex and thus the domain $\{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\colon a_n \ge h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})\}$ is a strictly convex set in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Now the result follows from part (i). $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $p > 1$ *and* $\Omega \subseteq \{(s_1, \cdots, s_n)\colon s_1, \cdots, s_n \geq 0,\ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq 1\}$. *Let* $D_p(\Omega) := \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\colon a_1, \cdots, a_n \geq 0, a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_n s_n^p \geq 1 \ for\ all\ (s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in \Omega\}$. *Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) $\{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\colon a_n \geq h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})\} \subseteq D_p(\Omega)$;

(ii) *If* $S \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ *where* $\overline{\Omega}$ *is the usual closure of* Ω, *then* $D_p(\Omega) = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\colon a_n \geq h_p(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1})\}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.1 (ii) it is sufficient to show that

$$\bigcap_{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S} \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \subseteq D_p(\Omega).$$

Let $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \bigcap_{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S} \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n)$ and $(t_1, \cdots, t_n) \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. We can easily find $(r_1, \cdots, r_n) \in S$ such that $r_i \leq t_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ whence $a_1 r_1^p + \cdots + a_n r_n^p \leq a_1 t_1^p + \cdots + a_n t_n^p$.

Also from $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \bigcap_{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S} \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n)$ we get $a_1 r_1^p + \cdots + a_n r_n^p \geq 1$.

Thus $a_1 t_1^p + \cdots + a_n t_n^p \geq 1$ whence $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in D_p(\Omega)$.

(ii) By (i) and Lemma 2.1 (ii) it is sufficient to show that

$$D_p(\Omega) \subseteq \bigcap_{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S} \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n).$$

Let $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in D_p(\Omega)$ and $(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S$. There exists a sequence $\{(t_{1,m}, \cdots, t_{n,m})\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in Ω satisfying $(t_{1,m}, \cdots, t_{n,m}) \longrightarrow (s_1, \cdots, s_n)$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$, or equivalently $t_{i,m} \longrightarrow s_i$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, since $S \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$.

Now $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in D_p(\Omega)$ implies that $a_1 t_{1,m}^p + \cdots + a_n t_{n,m}^p \geq 1$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$. Getting limit as $m \longrightarrow \infty$, it follows that $a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_n s_n^p \geq 1$.

Hence $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in \bigcap_{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in S} \Delta_p(s_1, \cdots, s_n)$. □

Next, we identify $D_p(\Omega)$ when $0 < p \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $0 < p \leq 1$, $\Omega \subseteq \{(s_1, \cdots, s_n)\colon s_1, \cdots, s_n \geq 0,\ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq 1\}$ *and* $D_p(\Omega)$ *be as in Lemma 2.2. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) $\{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\colon a_1 \geq 1, \cdots, a_n \geq 1\} \subseteq D_p(\Omega)$;

(ii) *If* $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ *where* $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ *is the standard basis of* $\mathbb{R}^n$, *then* $D_p(\Omega) = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n)\colon a_1 \geq 1, \cdots, a_n \geq 1\}$.

Proof. (i) Let $a_1 \geq 1, \cdots, a_n \geq 1$ and $(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. First we show that $s_1^p + \cdots + s_n^p \geq 1$.

Case 1. Let $s_i \leq 1$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

It follows that $s_i \leq s_i^p$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$, whence $s_1 + \cdots + s_n \leq s_1^p + \cdots + s_n^p$. Also from $(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in \Omega$ we get $s_1 + \cdots + s_n \geq 1$. Thus $s_1^p + \cdots + s_n^p \geq 1$.

Case 2. Let $s_j > 1$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$.

We can easily obtain that $s_1^p + \cdots + s_n^p \geq s_j^p > 1$.

Now we observe that $a_1 s_1^p + \cdots + a_n s_n^p \geq s_1^p + \cdots + s_n^p \geq 1$. Hence $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in D_p(\Omega)$.

(ii) By (i) it suffices to show that $D_p(\Omega) \subseteq \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \colon a_1 \geq 1, \cdots, a_n \geq 1\}$. Let $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in D_p(\Omega)$ and $k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. There exists a sequence $\{(s_{1,m}, \cdots, s_{n,m})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in Ω satisfying $(s_{1,m}, \cdots, s_{n,m}) \longrightarrow e_k$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$, or equivalently $s_{k,m} \longrightarrow 1$ and $s_{l,m} \longrightarrow 0$ as $m \longrightarrow \infty$ $(l \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{k\})$, since $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\} \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$. Also from $(a_1, \cdots, a_n) \in D_p(\Omega)$ we get $a_1 s_{1,m}^p + \cdots + a_n s_{n,m}^p \geq 1 \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$. Taking limit as $m \longrightarrow \infty$, it follows that $a_k \geq 1$.

$\square$

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $p > 0$. Our main aim is to a characterize all n-tuples $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|^p \leq \frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n} \quad (x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X) \tag{2.6}$$

or its reverse

$$\|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|^p \geq \frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n} \quad (x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X)\,. \tag{2.7}$$

We put

$$F(p) = \left\{(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \quad \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x_i\|^p}{\mu_i} \text{ for all } x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X\right\}$$

and

$$G(p) = \left\{(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \quad \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x_i\|^p}{\mu_i} \text{ for all } x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X\right\}.$$

Also for each $k = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ we correspond $F(p\,; k)$ $(G(p\,; k)$, resp.) as the subset of $F(p)$ $(G(p)$, resp.) consisting of all n-tuples $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which inequality (2.6)

((2.7), resp.) holds and exactly k numbers of $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ are negative. We note that

$$F(p) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} F(p\,;k) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$G(p) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n} G(p\,;k)\,. \tag{2.9}$$

In the next two theorems we characterize $F(p)$. First we consider the case where $p > 1$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $p > 1$. Then the following assertions hold:*

(i) $F(p\,;0) = \left\{(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n)\colon \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n > 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \le 1\right\}$;

(ii) $F(p\,;k) = \varnothing$, *for all* $k = 1, \cdots, n$;

(iii) $F(p) = F(p\,;0)\,.$

Proof. Let $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ be arbitrary positive numbers for which

$$\|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|^p \le \frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n} \quad (x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X)\,.$$

Putting $x_i = \mu_i^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x$ (for some $x \neq 0$ and for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$) we get

$$\left\|\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x + \cdots + \mu_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x\right\|^p \le \frac{\left\|\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x\right\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\left\|\mu_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}} x\right\|^p}{\mu_n}\,,$$

or equivalently we obtain $\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \cdots + \mu_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \le 1$ because of $p > 1$.
Conversely, if $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n > 0$ and $\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \cdots + \mu_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \le 1$, then the desired inequality is deduced from following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|^p &\le (\|x_1\| + \cdots + \|x_n\|)^p \\
&= \left(\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\|\frac{x_1}{\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right\| + \cdots + \mu_n^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\|\frac{x_n}{\mu_n^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right\|\right)^p \\
&\le \left(\mu_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + \cdots + \mu_n^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^{p-1} \left(\frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n}\right) \\
&\le \frac{\|x_1\|^p}{\mu_1} + \cdots + \frac{\|x_n\|^p}{\mu_n}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the second inequality follows from the well-known Hölder inequality.

(ii) Let $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in F(p\,;k)$ for some $k = 1, \cdots, n$. There exists $1 \le j \le n$ such that

$\mu_j < 0$ and inequality (2.6) holds.

Putting $x_i = 0 \ \ (i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{j\})$ and $x_j \neq 0$ in inequality (2.6) we obtain $\|x_j\|^p \leq \frac{\|x_j\|^p}{\mu_j}$. This is a contradiction since $\mu_j < 0$.

(iii) It follows from (i), (ii) and (2.8). □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $0 < p \leq 1$. Then the following assertions are valid:*

(i) $F(p\,; 0) = (0, 1] \times \cdots \times (0, 1]$*;*

(ii) $F(p\,; k) = \varnothing$*, for all* $k = 1, \cdots, n$*;*

(iii) $F(p) = F(p\,; 0)\,.$

Proof. (i) Let $\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n$ be arbitrary positive numbers. We observe that inequality (2.6) holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\|x_i\|^p}{\mu_i \|x_1 + \cdots + x_n\|^p} \geq 1 \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$ for which $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \neq 0$. Putting

$$\Omega = \left\{ \left(\frac{\|x_1\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|}, \cdots, \frac{\|x_n\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|} \right) : x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X, \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \neq 0 \right\}$$

we get $\Omega \subseteq \big\{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \colon s_1, \cdots, s_n \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq 1\big\}$, $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\} \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$ and inequality (2.10) turns into $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{s_i^p}{\mu_i} \geq 1$ for all $(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \in \Omega$, or equivalently $(\frac{1}{\mu_1}, \cdots, \frac{1}{\mu_n}) \in D_p(\Omega)$. From Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $\mu_i \leq 1$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

(ii) It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4 (ii).

(iii) It follows from (i), (ii) and (2.8). □

Now we want to characterize $G(p)$ for any $p > 0$. The next theorem deals with the case where $p > 1$.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $p > 1$. Then the following hold:*

(i) $G(p\,; k) = \varnothing$*, for all* $k = 0, \cdots, n-2$*;*

(ii) $G(p\,; n-1)$

$= \left\{ (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \colon \exists\ j = 1, \cdots, n\,; \mu_j > 0, \ \mu_i < 0 \ (i \neq j) \text{ and } \mu_j^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1, i\neq j}^n |\mu_i|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\}$;

(iii) $G(p\,; n) = \mathbb{R}^- \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^-$*, where* $\mathbb{R}^- = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t < 0\}$;

(iv) $G(p) = G(p\,; n-1) \cup G(p\,; n)\,.$

Proof. (i) Let $(\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_n)\in G(p\;;k)$ for some $k=0,\cdots,n-2$. Thus there exist $j_1,j_2\in\{1,\cdots,n\}$ such that $\mu_{j_1},\mu_{j_2}>0$ $(j_1\neq j_2)$ and also inequality (2.7) holds. Setting $x_i=0$ $(i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}\setminus\{j_1,j_2\})$ in inequality (2.7) we have

$$\|x_{j_1}+x_{j_2}\|^p\geq\frac{\|x_{j_1}\|^p}{\mu_{j_1}}+\frac{\|x_{j_2}\|^p}{\mu_{j_2}}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Also we can consider $x_{j_1},x_{j_2}\in X$ for which $x_{j_1}+x_{j_2}=0$ and $(x_{j_1},x_{j_2})\neq(0,0)$. Thus inequality (2.11) implies that $\frac{\|x_{j_1}\|^p}{\mu_{j_1}}+\frac{\|x_{j_2}\|^p}{\mu_{j_2}}\leq 0$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) Let $(\mu_1,\cdots,\mu_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $\mu_j>0$ for some $j=1,\cdots,n$ and $\mu_i<0$ for all $i\in\{1,\cdots,n\}\setminus\{j\}$.

We observe that inequality (2.7) holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{n}\frac{\mu_j\|x_i\|^p}{|\mu_i|\|x_j\|^p}+\frac{\mu_j\|x_1+\cdots+x_n\|^p}{\|x_j\|^p}\geq 1 \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x_1,\cdots,x_n\in X, x_j\neq 0$.

Putting $\Omega=\left\{\left(\frac{\|x_1\|}{\|x_j\|},\cdots,\frac{\|x_{j-1}\|}{\|x_j\|},\frac{\|x_{j+1}\|}{\|x_j\|},\cdots,\frac{\|x_n\|}{\|x_j\|},\frac{\|x_1+\cdots+x_n\|}{\|x_j\|}\right)\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon x_1,\cdots,x_n\in X, x_j\neq 0\right\}$ we have $\Omega\subseteq\left\{(s_1,\cdots,s_n)\colon s_1,\cdots,s_n\geq 0,\ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i\geq 1\right\}$, $S\subseteq\Omega\subseteq\overline{\Omega}$ and inequality (2.12) turns into

$$\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_1|}s_1^p+\cdots+\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j-1}|}s_{j-1}^p+\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j+1}|}s_j^p+\cdots+\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_n|}s_{n-1}^p+\mu_j s_n^p\geq 1$$

for all $(s_1,\cdots,s_n)\in\Omega$, or equivalently

$$\left(\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_1|},\cdots,\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j-1}|},\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j+1}|},\cdots,\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_n|},\mu_j\right)\in D_p(\Omega)\,. \tag{2.13}$$

From Lemma 2.2 and (2.13) we deduce that

$$\mu_j\geq h_p\left(\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_1|},\cdots,\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j-1}|},\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j+1}|},\cdots,\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_n|}\right),$$

that is

$$\mu_j\geq\left(1-\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{n}\frac{|\mu_i|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{\mu_j^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}\right)^{1-p}. \tag{2.14}$$

By a straightforward calculation the following inequality follows from (2.14).

$$\mu_j^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\geq 1+\sum_{i=1,i\neq j}^{n}|\mu_i|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\,.$$

(iii) It is trivial.

(iv) It easily follows from (i), (ii), (iii) and (2.9). □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space and $0 < p \leq 1$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *$G(p\,; k) = \varnothing$, for all $k = 0, \cdots, n-2$;*

(ii) *$G(p\,; n-1) =$*

$$\left\{(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \colon \exists\; j = 1, \cdots, n;\; \mu_j > 0,\; \mu_i < 0\; (i \neq j) \text{ and } \mu_j \geq \max_{i \in \{1,\cdots,n\}\setminus\{j\}} \{1, |\mu_i|\}\right\};$$

(iii) *$G(p\,; n) = \mathbb{R}^- \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^-$;*

(iv) *$G(p) = G(p\,; n-1) \cup G(p\,; n)$.*

Proof. (i) It is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6 (i).

(ii) Let $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\mu_j > 0$ for some $j = 1, \cdots, n$ and $\mu_i < 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{j\}$.

Putting $\Omega = \left\{\left(\frac{\|x_1\|}{\|x_j\|}, \cdots, \frac{\|x_{j-1}\|}{\|x_j\|}, \frac{\|x_{j+1}\|}{\|x_j\|}, \cdots, \frac{\|x_n\|}{\|x_j\|}, \frac{\|x_1+\cdots+x_n\|}{\|x_j\|}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X, x_j \neq 0\right\}$ we have $\Omega \subseteq \{(s_1, \cdots, s_n) \colon s_1, \cdots, s_n \geq 0,\ \sum_{i=1}^n s_i \geq 1\}$ and $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\} \subseteq \Omega \subseteq \overline{\Omega}$. Passing the proof of Theorem 2.6 (ii) we observe that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x_i\|^p}{\mu_i}$ for all $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X$ if and only if

$$\left(\frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_1|}, \cdots, \frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j-1}|}, \frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_{j+1}|}, \cdots, \frac{\mu_j}{|\mu_n|}, \mu_j\right) \in D_p(\Omega)\,.$$

From Lemma 2.3 we deduce that

$$\mu_j \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_j \geq |\mu_i| \quad (i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \setminus \{j\}).$$

or equivalently we get $\mu_j \geq \max\limits_{i \in \{1,\cdots,n\}\setminus\{j\}} \{1, |\mu_i|\}$.

(iii) It is trivial.

(iv) It follows from (i), (ii), (iii) and (2.9). □

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space and $p > 0$. Let*

$$H(p) := \left\{(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \quad \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|^p \leq \left|\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\|x_i\|^p}{\mu_i}\right| \quad \text{for all } x_1, \cdots, x_n \in X\right\}.$$

Then the following assertions hold:

(i) *If $p > 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} H(p) &= F(p) \cap -F(P) \\ &= \left\{(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n) \colon \text{ either } \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n > 0 \text{ or } \mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n < 0 \text{ as well as } \sum_{i=1}^n |\mu_i|^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \leq 1\right\}; \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $0 < p \leq 1$, then $H(p) = (0,1]^n \cup [-1,0)^n$.*

Proof. One can easily observe that

$$H(p) = (F(p) \cap -G(p)) \cup (-F(p) \cap G(p)).$$

Now (i) follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.6 and (ii) follows from Theorems 2.5 and 2.7. □

The special cases of our results in the case where $n = 2$ and $p \geq 1$ give rise to some of main results of Takahasi et al [12, Theorems 1.1 and 4.1].

References

1. A.H. Ansari, M.S. Moslehian, More on reverse triangle inequality in inner product spaces, Intern. J. Math. Math. Sci. 18 (2005) 2883–2893.
2. S.S. Dragomir, Reverses of the triangle inequality in Banach spaces, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6(5) (2005) Art. 129.
3. M. Khosravi, H. Mahyar, M.S. Moslehian, Reverse triangle inequality in Hilbert C^*-modules, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 10(4) (2009) Art. 110.
4. L. Maligranda, Some remarks on the triangle inequality for norms, Banach J. Math. Anal. 2(2) (2008) 31-41.
5. K.-I. Mitani, K.-S. Saito, M. Kato, T. Tamura, On sharp triangle inequalities in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (2007) 1178-1186.
6. J.E. Pečarić, R. Rajić, The Dunkl–Williams inequality with n-elements in normed linear spaces, Math. Inequal. Appl. 10 (2007) 461–470.
7. M. Kato, K.-S. Saito, T. Tamura, Sharp triangle inequality and its reverse in Banach spaces, Math. Inequal. Appl. 10 (2007) 451–460.
8. C.-Y. Hsu, Sen-Yen Shaw, H.-J. Wong Refinements of generalized triangle inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 344 (2008) 17–31.
9. H. Hudzik, T. R. Landes, Characteristic of convexity of Kothe function spaces, Math. Ann. 294 (1992) 117-124.
10. H. Belbachir, M. Mirzavaziri, M.S. Moslehian, q-norms are really norms, Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 3 (2006) Article 2, 1–3.
11. S. Saitoh, Generalization of the triangle inequality, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4(3) (2003) Art. 62.
12. S.-E. Takahasi, J.M. Rassias, S. Saitoh, Y. Takahashi, Refined generalizations of the triangle inequality on Banach space, Math. Inequal. Appl. 13 (2010) 733–741.
13. J.M. Rassias, Solutions of the Ulam stability problem for Euler–Lagrange quadratic mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 220 (1998) 613–639.
14. H. Takagi, T. Miura, T. Hayata, S.-E. Takahasi, A reconsideration of Hua's inequality II, J. Inequal. Appl. (2006) Art. ID 21540.